# 420-JEBE-TURNITIN

By Paskah Pardede

PAPER ID

# The Effect of Net Profit Margin on Current Ratio in PT. Siantar Top, PT. Kimia Farma and PT. Gudang Garam Companies Period 2020-2022

#### ABSTRACT

Several financial indicators are paramount when assessing a company's financial 39 alth, with the Net Profit Margin and the Current Ratio emerging as fundamental metrics. The Net Profit Margin is a crucial indicator used to evaluate financial performance, offering significant insights into a company's profitability by considering all operational costs and expenses. This metric assesses the organization's efficacy in creating financial gains relative to its income. Investors frequently prefer companies with a significant net profit margin, as these organizations can create robust cash flows and provide favorable returns to their own of the second content of the profit margin and provide favorable returns to their own of the second content of the second content

The Current Ratio functions as a financial metra that assesses a company's capacity to fulfill immediate financial obligations by utilizing assets that may be easily converted into cash. A high Current Ratio indicates the presence of sufficient current assets to fulfill urgent obligations rapidly. This guarantee cultivates a sense of confidence among investors regarding the company's proficient handling of financial responsibilities, hence mitigating the the lihood of encountering financial difficulties.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate the correlation between the Net Profit Margin and the Current Ratio within the context of three specific companies, namely PT. Siantar Top, PT. Kimia Farma, and PT. Gudang Garam. The analysis encompasses all quarters spanning from 20202 to 2022. The study employs a quantitative research methodology and incorporates secondary data from the official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchate (BEI), primarily focusing on financial statements. The data analysis utilizes fundamental linear regression analysis using SPSS software v 28 jon 25.

The study's results demonstrate a combined influence of the Net Profit Margin on the urrent Ratio, exhibiting a statistically significant association between the two variables. The coefficient of determination indicates a value of 0.304, indicating that around 30.4% of the variability in the Current Ratio can be ascribed to the Net Profit Margin. The remaining proportion is influenced by variables that should have been investigated within the confines of this research.

Keywords: Net Profit Margin, Current Ratio

#### INTRODUCTION

Every company must have one of the targets or goals, namely, to generate maximum profits. However, the profit generated by a company is not always stable or the same as the profit earned in previous periods. For an investor evaluating the company's financial performance becomes a consideration in making investment decisions. This evaluation can be done using financial ratio analysis. Generally, there are 4 types of financial ratios. The financial atistics employed in this study encompass the Net Profit Margin and Current Ratio. The Net Profit Margin is a profitability measure companies utilize to ascertain the proportion of net profit obtained after deducting taxes. The purpose of this ratio evaluation is to assess the organization's operational efficiency.

Profitability is the ability to generate profits. (Bintara, 2020; Parvin et al., 2019; Rachmawati & Pinem, 2015) The profitability ratio is an evaluation of the return on the company's investment. This analysis focuses on company resources and profit levels and involves identifying and measuring the impact of various drivers of profitability. This analysis also includes an evaluation of the two main sources of profitability – margin (the portion of sales that is not offset by costs) and turnover (use of capital). (Abbas et al., 2019; Megasanti & Riwayati, 2023; Nuswandari et al., 2019)

The NPM (Net et al.) is favorable when it exceeds 5%. The concept of Net Profit Margin pertains to the operational efficacy and the capacity of a corporation to produce profits from its earned money. In essence, the Net Profit Margin 122 ric indicates the company's proficiency in cost management and revenue generation. Net profit margin is a financial metric that quantifies a company's profitability by comparing its earnings to its total revenue.

In their study, Eugenio et al. (023) examined the impact of Net Profit Margin (NPM), Return on Investment (ROI), Return on Equity (ROE), and cash ratio on the likelihood of financial distress among manufacturing companies in the consumer goods sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2019 to 2021. Based on the findings, there is a lack of statistically meaningful association between the Net Profit Margin and financial distress. The result a significance level of 0.282. The obtained critical t-value from the table, at a significant level of 0.05, is 1.69552.

32 In contrast, the impact of Return on Investment (ROI) on financial stress is shown to be statistically gignificant. This is evidenced by a calculated t-value of 2.886, which exceeds the critical t-table value of 2.03951 at a significance level of 0.05. The p-value of 0.007 for the t-statistic supports the significant relationship between return on investment (ROI) and financial distress. The analysis additionally demonstrates a noteworthy inverse correlation between Return on Equity (ROE) and financial distress, as evidenced by a t-test statistic of -2.897 (p = 0.007), surpassing the critical t-table value of 1.69552 at a significance level of 0.05.

A study poject was conducted by Budi Ansari in 2016, which aimed to investigate the impact of the current ratio (CR) and net profit margin (NPM) on the stock prices of publicly traded food and beverage firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. The resultational distribution incorporating corporate responsibility (CR) into business practices does not

significantly affect stock prices. Investors, predominantly driven by financial gains, have less inclination towards corporate responsibility. In a similar vein, the impact of New Public Management (NPM) on investors' propensity toward pursuing profits is found to be significant. Upon analyzing the collective influence of capital requirements (CR) and net profit margin (NPM), no statistically significant findings support the notion that they impact stock prices.

This study selected three research subjects from the consumer goods industry sector manufacturing prisinesses that are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. The chosen companies are PT. Siantar Top, PT. Kimia Farma, and PT. Gudang Garam. The study analyzed these companies every quarter This study examines the phenomena of research conducted on three companies, namely PT. Siantar Top, PT. Kimia Farma, and PT. Gudang Garam, within the period of 2020-2022.

**Tabel 1. Phenomenon Research** PT. Kimia Farma, Tbk (KAEF)

Year		Current Assets	Current	Total Assets	Total Revenue	Revenue
70	Quarterly	Curent Lisses	Liabilities	rota rissets	Total Te venue	The ventue
2022	1	2.918.960.543.973	533.322.438.635	4.140.548.466.903	164.198.142.403	1.182.360.595.241
	2	2.512.655.098.371	414.949.038.148	4.098.074.917.918	255.854.448.674	2.242.413.770.331
	3	2.420.553.411.057	516.801.189.548	4.363.311.816.144	419.292.490.237	3.575.254.845.001
	4	2.575.390.271.556	530.693.880.588	4.590.737.849.889	624.524.005.786	4.931.553.771.470
2021	1	1.794.068.947949	753.253.165.807	3.734.576.264	154.720.292.528	1.025.575.170.971
	2	1.521.661.024.055	360.231.008.241	3.454.527.719.369	263.705.053.293	1.924.393.406.116
	3	1.774.586.107.567	441.375.648.639	3.709.050.460.941	433.318.148.120	3.045.069.878.925
	4	1.979.855.004.312	475.372.154.415	3.919.243.683.748	617.573.766.863	4.241.856.914.012
2020	1	1.370.744.192.837	454.170.455.806	3.103.541.807.577	175.379.802.775	944.934.127.199
	2	1.193.664.920.046	350.383.640.773	3.111.044.741.146	278.049.706.066	1.800.267.827.154
	3	1.372.883.946.497	444.783.593.880	3.307.682.419.376	479.355.163.082	2.817.969.611.969
	4	1.505.872.822.478	626.131.203.549	3.448.995.059.882	628.628.879.549	3.846.300.254.825

**Tabel 2. Phenomenon Research** PT. Kimia Farma, Tbk (KAEF)

		1				
Year		Current Assets	Current Liabilities	Total Assets	Total Revenue	Revenue
	Quarterly					
2022	1	6.518.288.619	6.312.200.719	17.924.833.947	2.587.618	2.260.504.183
	2	6.630.387.429	7.041.840.585	18.415.461.949	206.303.749	4.425.143.855

	3	7.003.410.607	7.018.251.180	18.658.376.659	184.135.002	7.313.700.858
	4	8.501.422.281	8.030.857.184	20.353.992.893	109.782.957	9.606.145.359
2021	1	6.058.589.062	5.978.334.126	17.465.893.976	15.189.448	2.300.195.578
	2	6.318.621.073	6.414.824.680	17.783.231.635	53.398.193	5.558.524127
	3	7.383.137.080	7.279.816.375	18.845333.250	294.692.637	9.493.592.426
	4	6.303.437.591	5.980.180.556	17.760.195040	289.888.789	12.857.626.593
	1	6.142.529.011	6.077.525.306	19.199.590.521	14.828.432	2.402.279.275
2020	2	6.438.439.863	7.051.664.920	19.513.999.167	51.000.936	4.687.803.350
	3	6.489.633.562	7.300.382.868	17.687.655.369	45.327.415	7.045.688.686
	4	6.093.103.998	6.786.941.897	17.562.816.674	20.425.756	10.006.173.023

**Tabel 3. Phenomenon Research** PT. Gudang Garam, Tbk (GGRM)

(Expressed in Rupiah)

	(Expressed in F					
Year	Quarterly	Current Assets	Current Liabilities	Total Assets	Total Revenue	Revenue
	1	57.032.881	25.780.269	88.541.948	1.076.901	29.290.712
2022	2	51.759.401	25,363,293	83,633,044	956.146	61.672.267
	-					
	3	51.228.595	24.951.405	83.701.564	1.497.588	93,919,459
		31.220.373	24.551.405	05.701.504	1.477.500	75017.457
	4	55.455.127	29.125.010	88.562.617	2.779.742	124.682.692
	*	33.433.127	29.123.010	00.302.017	2.779.742	124.062.092
	,	50.585.936	16.841.087	79.824.097	1.746.542	29.747.173
	1	30.383.936	16.841.087	/9.824.09/	1./46.542	29./4/.1/3
		10.000.000	45.000.000	#0 10 F##0	2 210 550	CO #0# #00
	2	49.826.600	15.930.696	79.436.578	2.310.578	60.587.299
2021						
	3	53.241.302	23.244.609	83.251.441	4.134.576	92.070.856
	4	59.312.578	28.369.283	89.964.369	5.605.321	124.881.266
	1	49.235.026	20.932.615	76.828.599	2.446.609	27.260.976
	2	51.282.030	21.761.909	79.158.868	3.820.803	53.654.639
2020						
	3	49,175,012	17.690.581	76.929.023	5.647.228	83.375.059
	4	49.537.929	17,009,992	78.191.409	7.647.729	114.477.311
	"	49.557.929	17.009.992	76.191.409	7.047.729	114.4/7.511

Source: idx.co.id

A company's financial performance is not only measured by the level of sales and profits, but also includes other aspects in the financial statements. One of the methods used to assess whether a company's financial performance is good or bad is by analyzing financial statements which aim to obtain information about financial reports and describe aspects of financial statements during a certain period whether the company is experiencing growth or not. Figura cial statement analysis has several functions in assisting the evaluation of a company's performance.

The principal objective of this study is to assess how the net profit margin affects the current ratio. In pursuit of this goal, the researchers conducted an analysis titled "Examining the Impact of Net Profit Margin on Current Ratio in PT. Siantar Top, PT. Kimia Farma, and PT. Gudang Garam from 2020 to 2022."

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

The net profit margin is a financial metric calculated by dividing the net profit after tax by the total sales, as Nariswari and Nugraha (2025) described. The net profit margin, often known as the sales margin, is a metric utilized to evaluate the profitability of a company's sales revenue. A large company's net profit margin ratio serves as an indicator of its performance, as it reflects the ability of the company to earn substantial net profit from its sales endeavors. Consequently, investors often consider this ratio when determining whether to purchase shares from the company in question. According to the study conducted by Faleria et al. (2017), The net profit margin is a commonly employed financial statistic that quantifies the proportion of net profit to net sales. The calculation of this ratio involves the division of net income by net sales (Mahdi & Khaddafi, 2020). One benefit of utilizing this ratio is its ability to assess a company's profitability by measuring the rate of return on sales. This ratio is very useful for knowing the causes of the company's success (Bustani et al., 2021; Kartiko & Rachmi, 2021). The formula is:

Net Profit Margin (NPM) =  $\underline{\text{Net Profit}}$ 

Sale

#### Current Ratio (CR)

The current ratio is a financial indicator utilized to evaluate a company's capacity to meet its immediate financial obligations by utilizing its current assets. According to Jasmani (2019), the current ratio is a financial metric that assesses a company's ability to satisfy its immediate obligations by utilizing its existing assets. The current assets in this context comprise cash, trade receivables, securities, inventories, and other current assets. In addition, short-term debt encompasses various financial obligations such as trade payables, notes payable, bank loans, wages due, and other forms of indebtedness. The current ratio, often known as the current ratio, is widely regarded as the primary liquidity measure employed by investors. It quantifies the relationship between current assets and current liabilities. This analysis information is used to find out position of working capital of a company (Annisa & habachib, 2017; Sa'diah et al., 2023). Ratio formula is:

Current Ratio (CR) = Total current assets
Total current liabilitas

#### METHOD

37

The methodology employed in this study is quantitative research. Quantitative research refers to a research methodology that yields findings that can be captured through statistical

techniques or other forms of quantifications. The study utilizes quantitative data kinds, which are obtained from several sources. The data sources utilized in this study are as follows: The data utilized were derived from secondary sources, specifically research data quired indirectly. The study acquired secondary data by accessing firm financial records from the official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchange (www.idx.co.id).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

31 Classical Assumption Test

Normality Test

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

#### One-SampleKolmogorov-SmirnovTest


UnstandardizedResidual

N		36
NormalParameters <sup>a,b</sup>	Mean	.0000000
	Std.Deviation	131.61986555
MostExtremeDifferences	Absolute	.111
	Positive	.092
	Negative	111
TestStatistic		.111
Asymp.Sig.(2-tailed)		.200 <sup>c,d</sup>

- a. TestdistributionisNormal.
- b. Calculatedfromdata.
- c. LillieforsSignificanceCorrection.
- d. This is alower bound of the true significance.


# P-Plot Graphic Analysis

Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual



# Heteroscedasticity Test

## Scatterplot Test



# Glejser test

ejse	er tes	t	Unstandardized(	Coefficients	19 StandardizedC oefficients		
Μ	lodel		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1		(Constant)	.435	.191		2.281	.029
		NPM	.032	.022	.242	1.453	.155

# Autocorrelation Test (Durbin Watson)

### Model Summaryb

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.667ª	.445	.410	122.44411	1.973

5 a. Predictors:	(Constant), Lagy, NPM
b. Dependent	Variable: CR

The Durbin-Watson test yielded a d value of 1.973. According to the Durbin-Watson table, certain information can be inferred when there is one independent variable and a sample size of 36.

16				
d	dl	du	4-dl	4-du
1,973	1,4107	1,5245	2,5893	2,4755
,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

### **Hypothesis Test Results**

## Simple Linear Regression Analysis Method

## Variables Entered/Removed<sup>a</sup>

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method			
1	NPM <sup>b</sup>		Enter			
a. Dependent Variable: CR						

a. Dependent variable. CR

b. All requested variables entered.

# Test (Simultaneous Test) ANOVA<sup>a</sup>

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	264612.357	1	264612.357	14.838	.000b
	Residual	606332.615	34	17833.312		
	Total	870944.972	35			

5

a. Dependent Variable: CR

b. Predictors: (Contant), NPM



# Coefficientsa

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	124.420	33.049		3.765	.001
	NPM	14.603	3.791	.551	3.852	.000

a. Dependent Variable: CR

## **Coefficient of Determination Test**

### 10 Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.551ª	.304	.283	133.54143

a. Predictors: (Constant), NPM

According to the model summary table, the R square value of 0.304 indicates that 30.4% of the variation in CR can be attributed to the influence of NPM. In comparison, the remaining 69.6% is attributable to other factors.

#### Discussion

The outcome of a fundamental linear regression analysis conducted using SPSS software indicates that the Fcount value is 14.838. The results of the F test suggest that the calculated F value (Fcount) exceeds the critical F value (Ftable); specifically, 14.838 is more significant than 4.15. The F-table value is derived from the F-table using a significance level of 5% (0.05) and a sample size of 36. The variables employed in this calculation involve two variables, and the method for determining the degrees of freedom (dF) is as follows: dF(N1) = K-1 and dF(N2) = n-K, where n represents the number of samples and K represents the number of independent and dependent variables. The acceptance of Ha can be inferred when Fcount exceeds Ftable, indicating that NPM has a simultaneous or combined influence on CR. In the context of the test, it is observed that the significance value is 0.000, indicating a level of significance lower than 0.05 additionally, the estimated t value is determined to be 3.852, which surpasses the critical t value of 1.69092.

The t table value is derived from the percentage point table of the t distribution, specifically for a significance level of 0.05. This value is determined using  $t=[\alpha;(df=n-K)]$ . In this context, a represents the significance level, n denotes the number of samples, and K signifies the number of variables, including both independent and dependent variables. According to the provided formula, the value acquired from the t table is 1.69092. Upon comparing the value above with the computed t count of 3.852, it is evident that the t count surpasses the corresponding t table value (3.852 > 1.69092). Bas<sub>17</sub> on the two primary decision criteria commonly employed in the t-test, it can be inferred that the Net Profit Margin (NPM) exerts a positive and statistically significant impact on the Capital Ratio (CR). This implies that an increase in the NPM value corresponds to an increase in the CR value. Upon examination of the output summary, it is observed that the coeff 20 ent of determination is 0.304. This indicates that NPM has a 30.4% impact on CR, while the remaining 69.6% is attributable to other factors not investigated in the present study. A substantial net profit margin signifies the ability of a corporation to earn significant net profit after considering all operational costs and expenses. This observation may suggest favorable financial well-being and the organization's capacity to generate sufficient cash inflows. In this scenario, an increase in the net profit margin results in more funds accessible to the company for fulfilling its immediate financial commitments. Consequently, such a development can potentially impact the percentage value of the current ratio. In numerous instances, increased profitability can be utilized to reduce immediate liabilities or procure additional highly liquid current assets.

#### CONCLUSION

#### Conclusion

The preceding section offers a range of conclusions that can be derived from the facts and analysis 21 sented. The variable of net profit margin exhibits a concurrent or combined influence on the current ratio, indicating a positive and statistically significant impact on the current ratio. The coefficient of determination suggests that the net profit margin can explain around 30.4% of the variation in the current ratio. At the same time, the remaining amount is subject to the influence of other variables.

An upward trend in the net profit margin % signifies the company's proficiency in earning profits from its sales. The high level of efficiency exhibited by the business allows for generating significant earnings, which may be utilized to fulfill urgent financial responsibilities, improving the current ratio. The further expound, an enhancement in profitability positively impacts the current ratio. On the other hand, a reduction in the profit margin % signifies a deterioration in the company's capacity to earn profits efficiently. Should this negative trajectory continue, the organization could encounter difficulties in meeting its imminent fiscal obligations. This situation can decrease the current ratio since reduced profits indicate a limited amount of resources that may be utilized to fulfill immediate financial commitments.

#### Suggestion

This study exclusively focused on manufacturing companies within the consumer goods industrial sector. The sample consisted of three companies that were evaluated every quarter. Therefore, whether still being determined, things can be generalized to companies operating in other sectors. For future researchers, it is advisable to replicate the study using consistent variables while broadening the scope of the population and sample companies. They are also encouraged to replicate the study across other industrial sectors. The stud coefficient of determination, R2, is determined to be 30.4%. This indicates that 69.9% of the variation in the present ratio is influenced by factors beyond the variables considered in this study. Consequently, future research should incorporate more independent variables.

#### REFERENCES

Abbas, F., Iqbal, S., & Aziz, B. (2019). The impact of bank capital, bank liquidity and credit risk on profitability in postcrisis period: A comparative study of US and Asia. Cogent Economics & Finance, 7(1), 1605683. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2019.1605683 Annisa, R., & Chabachib, M. (2017). ANALISIS PENGARUH CURRENT RATIO (CR), DEBT TO EQUITY RATIO (DER), RETURN ON ASSETS (ROA) TERHADAP PRICE TO BOOK VALUE (PBV), DENGAN DIVIDEND PAYOUT RATIO

- SEBAGAI VARIABEL INTERVENING. Diponegoro Journal of Management, 6(1), 188–202.
- Bintara, R. (2020). The Effect of Working Capital, Liquidity and Leverage on Profitability. Saudi Journal of Economics and Finance, 04(01), 28–35. https://doi.org/10.36348/sjef.2020.v04i01.005
- Bustani, B., Kurniaty, K., & Widyanti, R. (2021). The Effect of Earning Per Share, Price to Book Value, Dividend Payout Ratio, and Net Profit Margin on the Stock Price in Indonesia Stock Exchange. Jurnal Maksipreneur: Manajemen, Koperasi, Dan Entrepreneurship, 11(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.30588/jmp.v11i1.810
- Eugenio, E., Angeline, A., Lee, B. P., Munthe, H., & Nasib, N. (2023). THE IMPACT OF NPM, ROI, ROE AND CASH RATIO ON FINANCIAL DISTRESS (Study Of Manufacturing Companies In The Consumption Goods Industrial Sector Listed On The Indonesia Stock Exchange In 2019 - 2021). Jurnal Ekonomi, 12(02), 888–899.
- Faleria, R. E., Lambey, L., & Walandouw, S. K. (2017). PENGARUH CURRENT RATIO, NET PROFIT MARGIN DAN EARNING PER SHARE TERHADAP HARGA SAHAM DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA (Studi Kasus pada Sub Sektor Food and Beverages). GOING CONCERN: JURNAL RISET AKUNTANSI, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.32400/gc.12.2.17483.2017
- Jasmani, J. (2019). The Effect of Liquidity and Working Capital Turnover on Profitability at PT. Sumber Cipta Multiniaga, South Jakarta. PINISI Discretion Review, 3(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.26858/pdr.v3i1.13269
- Kartiko, N. D., & Rachmi, I. F. (2021). Pengaruh Net Profit Margin, Return On Asset, Return On Equity, dan Earning Per Share Terhadap Harga Saham di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Publik Sektor Pertambangan di Bursa Efek Indonesia). Jurnal Riset Bisnis Dan Investasi, 7(2), 58–68. https://doi.org/10.35313/jrbi.v7i2.2592
- Mahdi, M., & Khaddafi, M. (2020). The Influence of Gross Profit Margin, Operating Profit Margin and Net Profit Margin on the Stock Price of Consumer Good Industry in the Indonesia Stock Exchange on 2012-2014. International Journal of Business, Economics, and Social Development, 1(3). https://doi.org/10.46336/ijbesd.v1i3.53
- Megasanti, L. C., & Riwayati, H. E. (2023). The Effect Of Liquidity, Profitability, And Solvency On Financial Distress With Good Corporate Governance As A Moderation. International Journal of Economic Studies and Management (IJESM), 3(1), 398–408.
- Nariswari, T. N., & Nugraha, N. M. (2020). Profit Growth: Impact of Net Profit Margin, Gross Profit Margin and Total Assests Turnover. International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies (2147-4486), 9(4), 87–96. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijfbs.v9i4.937
- Nuswandari, C., Sunarto, S., Jannah, A., & Ikromudin, I. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility Moderated the Effect of Liquidity and Profitability on the Firm Value. Proceedings of the International Conference on Banking, Accounting, Management, and Economics (ICOBAME 2018). https://doi.org/10.2991/icobame-18.2019.19
- Parvin, S., Chowdhury, A. N. M. M. H., Siddiqua, A., & Ferdous, J. (2019). Effect of Liquidity and Bank Size on the Profitability of Commercial Banks in Bangladesh. Asian Business Review, 9(1), 7–10. https://doi.org/10.18034/abr.v9i1.219

Rachmawati, D., & Pinem, D. B. (2015). PENGARUH PROFITABILITAS, LEVERAGE DAN UKURAN PERUSAHAAN TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN. EQUITY, 18(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.34209/equ.v18i1.456

Sa'diah, N. H., Manik, E., & Danasasmita, W. M. (2023). Effect of Liquidity and Profitability on Company Value. Acman: Accounting and Management Journal, 3(1), 42–48. https://doi.org/10.55208/aj.v3i1.59

© 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

# 420-JEBE-TURNITIN

ORIGINALITY REPORT

20%

SIMILARITY INDEX			
PRIMA	PRIMARY SOURCES		
1	s3.amazonaws.com Internet	123 words $-3\%$	
2	jurnal.darmaagung.ac.id Internet	55 words — <b>2%</b>	
3	www.jurnal.stmik-mi.ac.id Internet	50 words — 1 %	
4	ejournal.seaninstitute.or.id Internet	44 words — 1 %	
5	Denny Kurnia, Deni Sunaryo. "Settlement Of Stock Price Issues With Dividend Payout Ratio And Debt To Asset Ratio As Moderating Variables In The Retail Su JAK (Jurnal Akuntansi) Kajian Ilmiah Akuntansi, 2023 Crossref		
6	ecojoin.org Internet	20 words — 1 %	
7	es.scribd.com Internet	19 words — <b>1%</b>	
8	ijmrap.com Internet	19 words — <b>1</b> %	

library.upnvj.ac.id Internet

19 words —	1	%
1 J WOLGS		

- oaithesis.eur.nl 18 words < 1%
- ejournal.stiepgri.ac.id  $_{\text{Internet}}$  16 words -<1%
- repository.ulb.ac.id
  <sub>Internet</sub>
  16 words < 1 %
- Meiryani Meiryani, Mochammad Fahlevi, Ahmad Ilham Robbani, Agustinus Winoto, Gazali Salim, Agung Purnomo, Lusianah Lusianah. "Factors affecting the integrity of financial statements", Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review, 2023 Crossref
- equatorscience.com
  Internet

  14 words < 1 %
- eprints.unm.ac.id  $_{\text{Internet}}$  13 words -<1%
- world.journal.or.id
  Internet

  13 words < 1 %
- Wondmagegn Biru Mamo, Habtamu Legese Feyisa, Mekonnen Kumlachew Yitayaw. "Financial performance of commercial banks in the emerging markets", Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review, 2022

18 repository.uinjambi.ac.id

Crossref

 $_{12 \text{ words}}$  - < 1%

19	usi.ac.id Internet	12 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
20	journal.untar.ac.id Internet	11 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
21	www.ieomsociety.org Internet	11 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
22	dspace.umkt.ac.id Internet	10 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
23	jurnal.itbsemarang.ac.id Internet	10 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
24	qlkh.humg.edu.vn Internet	10 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
25	www.researchgate.net Internet	10 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
26	Debebe Dangiso, Addisu Abebe, Kanbiro Orkaido "Determinants of Employee Recruitment in Sidam National Regional State, Ethiopia", Qeios Ltd, 202 Crossref Posted Content	าล	1%
27	armgpublishing.com Internet	9 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
28	www.sciencegate.app  Internet	9 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
29	www.scilit.net Internet	9 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%
30	Syaugi Syaugi, Aulia Rahmah. "Investment Decisions through Total Asset Growth toward Price	8 words — <b>&lt;</b>	1%

# to Book Value on the Jakarta Islamic Index", Muqtasid: Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah, 2021

Crossref

31	ijisrt.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
32	journal.formosapublisher.org  Internet	8 words — < 1 %
33	journal.iainlhokseumawe.ac.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
34	journal.ikopin.ac.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
35	journal.yrpipku.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
36	ojs.uma.ac.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
37	ojs.unm.ac.id Internet	8 words — < 1 %
38	rigeo.org Internet	8 words — < 1 %
39	www.calebuniversity.edu.ng  Internet	8 words — < 1 %
40	www.coursehero.com Internet	8 words — < 1 %
41	Nur Mey Lina, Fatimah Fatimah, Yuhanis Ladewi. "Factors Affecting Stock Prices With Dividend Polic	<sup>7</sup> words — < 1 %

# As An Intervening Variable In Mining Companies Listed On The Idx", International Journal of Finance Research, 2023

Crossref



 $_{6 \text{ words}}$  - < 1%

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES
EXCLUDE MATCHES

OFF

OFF